(1) 4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 6287 2005

PETITIONER

V. N. Nag S/o Shri D.N. Nag aged about 36 years, Forest Ranger, Gomarda santuary, Sarangarh, Resident of forest colony Babakuti sarangarh Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6334/05 Received by R.S. Rajput dated 12/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary (Special) Forest Department D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Divisional Forest officer Raigarh, division Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)
3. Superintendent Gomarda sanctuary Sarangarh, tahsil-Sarangarh, Dist-Raigarh (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 12/12/05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES:

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

30

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 6287/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-12-2005 Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri S.P. Kale, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 17-11-2005 (Annexure-P/1) the petitioner has been transferred from Gomarda Sanctuary, Sarangarh, Raigarh Division to Range Office, Dornapal, Sukma Division. He further submits that the transfer order has been issued during the ban period. He also submits that during the mid session of the school the transfer order has been issued. Learned counsel submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a period of two weeks, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation.	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

31

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 6287/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays that the petitioner may be allowed to continue. In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.4980/05 & I.A.No.10402/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	
Gowri		